

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,693	01/23/2004	Nicholas Holian	200312299-1	7674
22879	7590 08/22/2006		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPANY	WILSON, YOLANDA L		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ر, در بر						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/763,693	HOLIAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yolanda L. Wilson	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	(10 OFT TO EVOIDE A MONTH!	C) OD THIDTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nuary 2004.					
)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/763,693

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: 'A memory module comprising,' should be 'A memory module comprising:'. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8,10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abramov et al. (USPN 6327676B1). As per claim 1, Abramov et al. discloses a plurality of memory circuits; a plurality of data lines coupled to the plurality of memory circuits, the plurality of data lines transfer data to and from the plurality of memory circuits; a switching device coupled to at least one of the plurality of data lines; and wherein the switching device selectively operates to simulate a hardware error on at least one of the plurality of data lines based on an input signal from a control logic external to the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10. The switching device is the test equipment.
- 4. As per claim 2, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the memory circuits are packaged memory circuits, and wherein the switching device is attached to an outer

Application/Control Number: 10/763,693

Art Unit: 2113

surface of the package of one of the plurality of memory circuits in column 4, lines 28-35.

- 5. As per claim 3, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the switching device electrically floats the at least one of the plurality of data lines in column 4, lines 28-35.
- 6. As per claim 4, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the switching device drives the at least one of the plurality of data lines to a high voltage level in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 7. As per claim 5, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the switching device drives the at least one of the plurality of data lines to a low voltage level in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 8. As per claim 6, Abramov et al. discloses receiving a request by a control logic to simulate a hardware error on a data line of a memory module; and simulating the hardware error on the data line by a switching unit on the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10. The switching device is the test equipment.
- 9. As per claim 7, Abramov et al. discloses sending instructions to inject the error to the control logic from an application executing in a computer system coupled to the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 10. As per claim 8, Abramov et al. discloses sending the instructions on a communication bus in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.

Art Unit: 2113

11. As per claim 10, Abramov et al. discloses wherein simulating the hardware error comprises driving a high voltage on the data line in the memory module to simulate a stuck-at-1 hardware error in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 – column 9, line 10.

- 12. As per claim 11, Abramov et al. discloses wherein simulating the hardware error comprises electrically floating a data line in the memory module to simulate a stuck-open hardware error in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 13. As per claim 12, Abramov et al. discloses wherein simulating the hardware error comprises electrically grounding the data line in the memory module to simulate a stuck-at-0 fault in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 14. As per claim 13, Abramov et al. discloses wherein simulating the hardware error comprises simulating a hardware error for a predetermined amount of time, the simulated hardware error being one selected from the group consisting of a stuck-at-1 hardware error, a stuck-at-0 hardware error, and a stuck-open hardware error in column 4. lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 15. As per claim 14, Abramov et al. discloses a central processing unit (CPU); a memory coupled to the CPU; control logic coupled to the memory and operable to enable operation of a switching device coupled to a memory module to simulate a hardware error in the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10 and Figure 1A.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,693

Art Unit: 2113

- 16. As per claim 15, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the switching device is operable to apply a high voltage level to a data line in the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 17. As per claim 16, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the switching device is operable to apply a low voltage level to a data line in the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 18. As per claim 17, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the switching device electrically floats a data line in the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 19. As per claim 18, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the control logic initializes and maintains a counter of the number of hardware errors to simulate in memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10.
- 20. As per claim 19, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the control logic initializes and maintains a timer of the duration of hardware errors to simulate in the memory module in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 55 column 9, line 10.
- 21. As per claim 20, Abramov et al. discloses a plurality of means for storing data, wherein at least one the means for storing data is integrated with a means for driving a simulated hardware error; a plurality of means for transferring data to and from the plurality of means for storing data; and wherein the means for driving is operable to one of drive a voltage and electrically float at least one of the plurality of means for transferring data in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 column 9, line 10. The switching device is the test equipment.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,693

Art Unit: 2113

22. As per claim 21, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the means for driving applies a voltage based on a request from a software application in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 – column 9, line 10.

23. As per claim 22, Abramov et al. discloses wherein the means for driving further comprises a means for interfacing with a communications bus in column 4, lines 28-35 and in column 8, line 66 – column 9, line 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 24. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 25. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abramov et al. in view of Wikipedia. As per claim 9, Abramov et al. fails to explicitly state sending the instructions on an inter-integrated circuits (I2C) communications bus.

Wikipedia discloses this limitation on page 1.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send the instructions on an inter-integrated circuits (I2C) communications bus. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to send the instructions on an inter-integrated circuits (I2C) communications bus because the I2C bus is used to connect devices to be used in a computer system.

thilion

Application/Control Number: 10/763,693

Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda L. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yolanda L Wilson

Examiner
Art Unit 2113